

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 25-48 remain in the application. Claims 25-48 are subject to examination. Claims 25, 26, 32, 33, 37, 43, 44, and 45 have been amended.

Labels have been added to Figs. 1 and 2, and the energy detection device, which is associated with the generator and which is shown in Fig 2, has been associated with the reference numeral 4 instead of 3.

Under the heading "Informalities or Claims Objection" on page 2 of the above-identified Office Action, the Examiner objected to claim 43 because of an informality. The Examiner's suggested corrections have been made.

Under the heading "Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112" on page 2 of the above-identified Office Action, claims 25-48 have been rejected as being indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

In claim 25, line 5, the word "of" has been deleted. In addition, the paragraph starting at line 10 of claim 25 has been amended to read as follows:

controlling the operation of the electronic wheel unit and thereby
controlling an energy consumption of the electronic wheel unit in
dependence on the data acquired by the at least one state detection
device and the at least one energy detection device with a central

control unit connected to the at least one state detection device and to the at least one energy detection device.

Support for the change can be found on page 16, line 23 through page 17, line 24 of the specification of the instant application.

In claim 26, line 3, the word “is” has been deleted.

In claim 33, antecedent basis for “the telegram” can be found by referring to the recitation of “a repetition frequency of a radio telegram to improve transmission reliability”.

In claim 37, the two occurrences of the term “in respect of” have been changed to “relating to”. Support for the change is believed to be inherent in the claim as previously presented. If the Examiner desires additional support, the Examiner may refer to page 13, lines 21-22 and page 14, lines 5-10 of the specification.

In claim 44, the term “in respect of” has been changed to “relating to”. Claim 44 has also been amended to more clearly specify that the data relate to the listed features. Claim 32 has been similarly amended. Support for the changes are believed to be inherent in the claims as previously presented.

Claim 45 has been amended to more clearly convey that the central control unit is programmed to control the listed functions. Claim 33 has been similarly amended. Support for the changes are believed to be inherent in the claims as previously presented. Again, if the Examiner desires additional support, the Examiner may refer to page 13, lines 21-22 and page 14, lines 5-10 of the specification.

In claim 45, antecedent basis for “the telegram” can be found by referring to the recitation of “a repetition frequency of a radio telegram to improve transmission reliability” that appears in that claim.

It is accordingly believed that the claims meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. The above-noted changes to the claims are provided solely for clarification or cosmetic reasons. The changes are neither provided for overcoming the prior art nor do they narrow the scope of the claim for any reason related to the statutory requirements for a patent.

It is believed to be clear that none of the references made of record, whether taken alone or in any combination, either show or suggest the features of claims 25 or 37. Claims 25 and 37 are, therefore, believed to be patentable over the art. The dependent claims are believed to be patentable as well because they all are ultimately dependent on claim 25 or 37.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of claims 25-48 are solicited.

In the event the Examiner should still find any of the claims to be unpatentable, counsel would appreciate receiving a telephone call so that, if possible, patentable language can be worked out.

Please charge any fees that might be due with respect to Sections 1.16 and 1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner Greenberg Sterner LLP, No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,

/Werner H. Sterner/
Werner H. Sterner
(Reg. No. 34,956)

MPW:cgm

August 26, 2008

Lerner Greenberg Sterner LLP
P.O. Box 2480
Hollywood, Florida 33022-2480
Tel.: (954) 925-1100
Fax: (954) 925-1101